

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/752,894 | 12/27/2000 | Thomas J. Clough | ES-65 - DIV-8 | 1336 |
| 75 | 590 02/20/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| Thomas J. Clough | | | VO, HAI | |
| ENSCI Inc. P.O. Box 718 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Pismo Beach, (| CA 93448 | | 1771 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · Š | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | //_ | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/752,894 | CLOUGH, THOMAS J. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | Hai Vo | 1771 | | | | |
| Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mine, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 L | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-10,15-17 and 20-22</u> is/are pen | ding in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-10,15-17 and 20-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | • | o by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawir | ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| · | a priority under 25 H.C.C. | \$ 110(a) (d) or (f) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 0202. | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 | 5) Notice o | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/752,894

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 15-17, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Palmer et al (US 3,847,676). Palmer teaches a battery separator comprising a non-woven mat of polypropylene fibers having a mean diameter of 0.05 to 50 microns within the claimed range (column 11, line 64), and a plurality of opencell pores having an average pore size of less than 20 microns, within the claimed range (column 9, line 21). The mat has a porosity retention at least of 40% meeting a specific range as required by the claims (column 9, lines 13-15). Palmer teaches the mat comprising the wetting agent water mixture containing in the pores (column 7. lines 60-65). This reads on the liquid containing in at least apart of the pores. The polypropylene fiber of Palmer corresponds to Applicant's reduced particle size, nonspherical elongated porous organic polymer particle. It appears that Palmer and Applicant are using the same polymer resin to form the porous organic polymer particle and the fibers of Palmer have the fiber size within the claimed range. It is not seen that the fibers of Palmer would have performed differently from Applicant's porous polymer particles with respect to free flowing and resiliency properties. This is in line with *In re Spada*, 15 USPQ 2d 1655 (1990) which holds that products of

Application/Control Number: 09/752,894

Art Unit: 1771

identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties. It is the examiner's position that Palmer anticipates the claimed subject matter.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6-10, 15-17, and 20-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. The art rejections over Clough (US 5,601,945) have been overcome by the verified statement filed on 08/22/2003. Since the US Patent 5,601,945 and the present invention have the same inventive entity, the US Patent 5,601,945 is disqualified as 102(e) prior art.
- 5. The specification objections have been overcome by the submission of the substituted specification filed on 12/05/2003. The substituted specification is exactly identical to Applicant's original specification of Application Serial No. 09/167,320, filed October 6 1998, now US Patent No. 6,224,003. Accordingly, the support for "the reduced particle size" and "liquid contained" in the claims are now found in substituted specification.
- 6. It appears that the current status of claim 3 should be identified as "previously presented" **not** "previously amended" in accordance with revised amendment practice. Correction is required in the next response.
- 7. In the telephone interivew on October 21, 2003, the examiner suggested Applicant is submitting a copy of the specification of application Serial No. 09/167,320, filed October 6, 1998 now US Patent No. 6,224,003 to overcome the specification objections and place the instant claims in condition for allowance because the

Art Unit: 1771

present invention is a division of US Patent No. 6,224,003. However, the examiner found that the instant claims are not patentable over Palmer et al (US 3,847,676) when updating the search. The examiner wishes to apologize for any confusion that may have been caused.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th, F, 7:00-4:30 and on alternating Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hai Vo TC 1700

HV